2.4

ORAL HISTORY OF: Mark Schlussel

INTERVIEWED BY: Stanley Meretsky

DATE OF INTERVIEW: Tuesday, June 21, 2005

LOCATION OF INTERVIEW: Jewish Federation of Metropolitan

Detroit

SUBJECT MATTER: Life in the Jewish community

MR. MERETSKY: My name is Stan Meretsky and my camera person today is Eric Golden. Today is Tuesday, June 21st, 2005, and I have the pleasure of interviewing Mark Schlussel.

Mark, you've already signed the written release, but I have to ask you on camera for your approval to interview you, make the tape available for viewing for archive-approved requests. Is that okay with you?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Absolutely.

MR. MERETSKY: Great. Let's get started. Let's go back to the beginning and get a bit of your childhood. Where did you grow up at?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: I'm actually a migrant Jew. I grew up in the Jewish community as it migrated. My earliest recollections are on Euclid and Linwood, and I went to Thurkle Elementary School. There we davened in Young Israel, which my father was the founder, may he rest in peace, that was located on Clairmont. It was in a hall. I think it was a Workmen's

Thurkle Hall or one of the others, I don't remember. We had services downstairs.

MR. MERETSKY: Clairmont and Linwood?

2.0

2.2

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Yes. I remember the building very well. There were other services being held there. Those are my earliest recollections, that neighborhood around Thurkle Elementary School.

We lived in a duplex. I remember the family named Riemers were the other tenants and owners of the building, and we were their tenants. I remember it was probably 1945, 1946, when my father brought home the first car that I recall after the war. He finally had an automobile at that point in time.

It was kind of my grand uncle and aunt who lived on Boston Boulevard. Interestingly, my mother was brought to this country by a barren aunt and uncle. His name was Chiam Dorman and her name was Rose Dorman. They were actually first cousins, and they married I believe in Boston and they immigrated to Detroit. He was kind of a Damon Runyan character in many ways. He was the first person I ever saw with a tattoo. He had a tattoo on an inner arm. And he was a Kohan, which was really -- Jewish law clearly prohibited tattoos, but especially for the priestly class. He was a relatively observant man. They kept kosher, but they drove on Shabbes.

He was quite an interesting fellow. He owned a

haberdashery store in Hamtramck, and had become very successful and retired at I think the age of 35, and after that he dabbled in real estate and other ventures, the stock market.

They traveled to Europe and they were to bring my mother's older sister to America, and by a quirk of fate she refused, as I know the story, and my mother, who was younger, in her early teens or actually probably 10 or 11, and didn't have as much of a voice, so she was chosen. She's probably the only immigrant that came across on the Queen Mary and not in steerage, because they were quite wealthy at the time. She was then severed from her nuclear family, never ever to see them again. They were all annihilated in the Holocaust, save one brother who came to the United States from Belgium in the '50s.

On my father's side, interestingly, my grandfather came to this country I think almost a decade before he brought the family over. He established himself in Detroit in the junk business and he brought over his wife and three sons. My father was the eldest of three, and I have a picture of them that sits in my home today where they have payess, but they were in sailor suits.

They came to this country and interestingly they all achieved a great deal. My father became a lawyer. He graduated in 1929. He couldn't have picked a worse year to

try and make a living. He taught at the United Hebrew Schools for many years, decades as a matter of fact. After he prospered in the practice, he so loved his teaching career, he continued it.

2.5

His next oldest brother went to medical school and achieved distinction. He worked for the VA system and ended up becoming the associate dean of Northwestern's Medical School, and he was a very accomplished man. Interestingly, he was a bachelor. He was very much in love with a woman in the community and she couldn't wait and he didn't have resources. She got married. I think that was the love of his life and he remained a bachelor the balance of his life.

My father's youngest brother married and went into his father-in-law's steel business. He was a lawyer but he never practiced law.

So it was a very interesting family relationship.

My uncle the physician was irreligious and my father was observant, and in our family household there were cataclysmic and great debates about religion, especially around holiday time when my Uncle Morris would come back and he was as we say in the Passover Seder the wise son -- I wouldn't use wicked -- the non-observant son, equally brilliant, and even in the Passover Seder they both asked a very good question and they both asked it the same way ironically.

The interesting thing was those debates were

sustained in my house between my father and my uncle. I remember sitting at the table and they would chide each other, so the whole concept of how the Talmudic rabbis debated was sustained in my house on all these religious values, and he would question the religious values, he would question and my father would come back. It was actually very liberal but very learned, and they would have these great debates about Jewish life and the history of the Jewish people.

The environment in which I grew up was this religious Zionistic home. My father was a leader in the religious Zionist movement. He was a founder of the Mizrachi movement and a founder of Young Israel and a founder of Bar Ilan University. So for him the concept of religion and Zionism were integrated into one totality, and he had very little respect for the members of the community who rejected Israel and rejected the concept of waiting for a messianic experience as the only way to reclaim the land of Israel.

MR. MERETSKY: I see where you got your start in being so involved in Jewish education.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Right.

MR. MERETSKY: Right from your own kitchen table so to speak.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: It was there, it was very much there.

I was always the rebellious son. He always spent

much more time with my elder brother, Herschel, who's an ophthalmologist, who's a real scholar. Me, I was the rebellious son in many ways. I still have a recollection of my wearing these chartreuse pants and colorful shirts, and he thought that I was totally a wayward son, never to achieve anything. He was pulling his hair out with my rebellious nature.

My brother was a much more dutiful son with our parents. I was always testing the limits of our relationship. He tried to learn with me, and I would rather be out playing ball. We would learn wirke wot the sayings of the Father, and Shabbat afternoon. He taught me to read the Torah on my bar mitzvah. I learned in the Yeshiva until I was in my 20s actually. And I've continued to study on my own over these years. I'm much more interested now in Jewish philosophy and Jewish history than I am in the Talmud, which I think is an excessive preoccupation in Orthodoxy today.

MR. MERETSKY: We'll have to get you more involved with the Jewish Historical Society then.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Right.

MR. MERETSKY: And maybe do some oral histories like this.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Dr. Edgar was my cousin. His mother was a Schlussel. So we're related, and I think he was one of the founding members.

MR. MERETSKY: Yes, he was, and he wrote that book about Jewish physicians.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: He was quite a remarkable man.

MR. MERETSKY: When I first joined, he was still active.

You've already mentioned some of it, but who were some of your friends that you grew up with in school, and did you belong to any Jewish groups, youth groups or anything like that? Or were just rebellious, wearing the strange colored clothing?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, no, I was not totally rebellious. I grew up in Jewish youth groups at Young Israel. They always had Jewish youth groups on Shabbat afternoon, which I attended with some regularity. When Young Israel was on Dexter and Fullerton, it was relatively close to the yeshiva, and so when I'd get thrown out of class, I'd go there and play pool. They had a pool table in the back of the shul. So I'd go play pool in the pool room. And I'd come home and my father would say, oh, my God, he got thrown out again. I guess I was always questioning at a level that was not acceptable.

I had two groups of friends interestingly. I had a group of secular friends because my father did not want me to go to day school at yeshiva at the time. Because of their virile anti-Zionism, he felt that it was not an environment

that he wanted his children in. So I went to afternoon school there.

MR. MERETSKY: Yeshiva Beth Yehudah, but I did not go to day school. So I went to public school.

My secular friends, interestingly, there were three with whom I was close. We stared a club when we left AZA. We had a rebellion, again which I led. I guess I'm a kochleffl. That's all.

MR. MERETSKY: What AZA chapter were you in?
MR. SCHLUSSEL: I think Mendelsohn.

Then we decided to form the Princetons. We thought the AZA wasn't for us and we formed this club. We had pretty jackets and stuff and we played in basketball leagues. And out of that group there were four of us who were at Mumford

High School. I have maintained a friendship with all of them for all of these over 50 years, and one of them, Roger Stuart, who's a physician in Florida, our relationship is so close we talk almost every day. Literally. So our friendship has sustained itself over these years. We go off and play golf together and we visit together, but we talk literally every day. I call to say hello, he calls to say hello. If we miss a day, it's a rare thing.

All the other three are physicians. One is in Los Angeles, Howard Molitz, and one's a physician in Houston, Stuart Rosenthal. Molitz, who divorced his first wife, married a non-Jewish girl who converted, and she is such a remarkable lady, she's now principal of the Hebrew Day School and quite frankly the religious anchor in their house. In fact she called me, she had just gone to Israel for the first time, and she met my son on the plane back from Israel. She said, I have to talk to you about Israel. It's the greatest experience of my life. So I've maintained very close relationships with those folks.

The group that I grew up with in the religious community, they kind of left the country and my relationship with them became attenuated.

MR. MERETSKY: When was your first trip to Israel? Since you're mentioning Israel.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: My first trip to Israel was when my

father-in-law took his entire family -- I think that was the first trip; I think there was one before that -- to Israel for his 60th birthday, and he took all of us. I've been back numerous times since then.

MR. MERETSKY: What year was that?

2.2

MR. SCHLUSSEL: I don't really remember. I can't tell you specifically. I'd say it's about 25, 30 years ago.

I may have gone on a mission before that. In fact I think it was a mission I went on before that, but they all seem to blur after a while. There are some Jewish leaders who can tell you they're on their 70th trip, their 55th. You know, every time I go, I'm imbued with a sense of excitement.

MR. MERETSKY: One last question I want to ask you, what kind of a student were you in high school or college?

Were you a good student? I'm just curious if you were so rebellious like that.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: The answer was I was not particularly a good student until challenged by having to get accepted to college and to law school. I got relatively high grades. My father didn't know the difference between a C, D or an E, so his choices were if you got a C, it was as bad as getting an E. I remember one year I challenged him by getting all Es on the first card marking. I literally flunked every course. He said this was totally unacceptable. So I went from all Es to all As in the scope of third card marking.

MR. MERETSKY: You were rebellious.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Yeah, I was rebellious child. So I basically finally just buckled down.

MR. MERETSKY: How did you meet your wife? Talking about early years and back then.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: My wife's older sister was a classmate of mine in high school. We graduated Mumford together. Adria Meckler, Aronovitz now. I saw her sister and asked her to fix me up, and so we met. Rosie stayed upstairs because she couldn't pronounce my last name, little realizing now that she's been stuck with it for almost 42 years.

So then we went out and we got married after my first year of law school in 1963. And I do remember the date, August 11th. So it's getting close.

MR. MERETSKY: It's good that you remember it. It's very dangerous not to.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: I want you to know I didn't remember it once. I came home from playing a golf tournament and Rosie said to me, Do you know what today is? And I said, yes, Wednesday. She said, What's the date? I had completely forgotten. I only did that once.

MR. MERETSKY: I'll bet that cost you a bundle.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: It was not a well-advised lapse of memory. I still hear about that one. Just like we both still hear about the fact that we went on a trip on my daughter's

tenth birthday. Now my daughter, may she be well, is 40. She reminds us we were there for a birthday party but we were away on a trip on her tenth birthday, and we're still hearing about it 30 years later. There are certain things you don't forget.

2.0

2.2

MR. MERETSKY: As a lawyer you've had a pretty busy career, and my question is, how do you ever have time to work at your job while you're handling 10 or 20 different organizations. And actually, even before we talk about that a minute, I want to ask you about one of your leisure time pursuits. In your file is this interesting card promoting an art show of yours. And I just was curious how you ended up becoming a modern artist. I assume those are oil paintings.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Acrylics on canvas. Ironically, this morning I had a visit from Karen Fink of the Jewish Family Service. They wanted me to donate two or three paintings to the new building. So we went down in my storeroom where I've got a series of 20 or 30 I just finished over the last year and a half, and we picked out three that I'm going to give them.

It started actually -- again, I guess this is part of my rebellious nature continuing -- when I was a partner in the law firm of Pepper Hamilton. They used to have these retreats and the retreats were arduous. I don't know if you've ever been a group of 400 lawyers.

MR. MERETSKY: No.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: It's not a very fun thing. Maybe this is my own idiosyncratic thing. A lot of lawyers take themselves way too seriously in my opinion, and I've always believed we provide a commodity. There's a lot of lawyers that don't have a sense of humor about all this.

So I was in one of these retreat sessions, and being bored I was doing a geometric and coloring it with pen because I had tuned out this session long ago. One of the lawyers came up and said, gee, that's really nice. Why don't you do that in color? And I thought, gee, that's a nice suggestion. At that time I was doing a lot of traveling for business. So I came home and I went to the art store and I bought a group of 48 color pencils. At the time I liked to do geometrics because I think I was creating what I thought, and not what I felt. Now my pieces are very much more abstract, although I'm kind of melding it. But my pieces were very rigid and very precise.

In my studio if you looked at the pencil stuff, you could see a sheet of paper that had mathematical formulas. I wanted to be so precise. If it was 2.6 inches here, it was going to be 2.6 inches here. And every square was going to be perfect. So I started coloring them. When I went on these long trips, I never liked to do work on the plane. But I used to take short pieces of paper and I loved to do this on the plane.

From there I decided I needed to get beyond these pencil pieces, so I called Bob Aaronson, who is an extraordinary print maker. You know, he's a brilliant artist.

MR. MERETSKY: I was not aware of that.

2.2

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Bob is a trained artist. His degree is from art school and he does these wonderful print works, and he works on them on the weekends. If you go up to his office, you'll see a few of them. They're outstanding.

So I called him and I said what do I do? He said,
Don't get a lesson. The last thing you want to do is have
somebody else tell you what you should be creating. Just go
have fun, Mark. So I went out and bought some acrylic paints
and I bought some small canvasses and I started toward my
Jackson Pollick career, where I'd start throwing paint on and
doing this kind of work.

Eventually I had a one-man show, and it was really one of the toughest things I've ever done because you're really hanging out there. I had like 30 pieces in this gallery in Pontiac, and I kept thinking you must be out of your mind, Schlussel. These people are walking in here and they're being nice to you, and then they're walking out of here saying, did you see that garbage he's got on the wall as art? I kept thinking about it. You really feel exposed. I mean there's just no other way to say it.

MR. MERETSKY: Your personalty is hanging on the

wall.

2.2

MR. SCHLUSSEL: But I sold ten pieces and some of them for four figures. So it was kind of a nice experience.

I'll something anecdotally about it. It's about how we have perceptions of people. We always think we're very politically correct. When I worked at Pepper Hamilton, there was a partner in the firm who was a gay man, very, very fine person, and he never connected with me. He thought I was the ultimate hard-driving, uninteresting, heterosexual male, just interested in making deals. So one day he walks into my office and he looks at the wall, and he says, Oh, I like those two pieces. Where did you buy them? I said to him, I didn't buy them, I painted them. He said, No, no, Mark, I asked where did you buy them. I didn't buy them, I painted them. Look at the bottom; that's my name on it. He said, You painted those?" I said, Uh-huh.

From that point on he had a change of attitude because he now saw me in a different light, and he came to my show on the opening night with his partner, and they spent an hour and a half and they asked me about certain pieces. We became friends after that. But the ice breaker was all of a sudden his perception that what he wanted to believe I was wasn't exactly what I was in terms of the work.

So I love the artwork. I'm working on a piece now, I'm experimenting with a piece. I just bought a beautiful

piece of art, and I'm trying to see if I can emulate a Coleman Shemy that I got. So it's really quite an extraordinary experience. I'm working downstairs, fooling around with a piece that kind of melds my desire to be rigid and my desire to be free.

Plus it's also something you leave for posterity. I mean I hope I live a long life, but my children and grandchildren will have these things that I've actually created that's different.

MR. MERETSKY: And this tape, too.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Right. And this tape, too; that's kind of nice.

When I work on an abstract piece these days, since acrylics dry to quickly, I can sometimes stay up all night and work on a piece. I start and I can't stop. I'm painting a lot more with brushes these days. I went through a period where I painted a lot with tools, and I still use a lot of tools because I love texture. I love to create texture and color. That's my thing. It's about trying to make you visualize and bring something forward. I couldn't draw you and I can't do that kind of work, the realism work, but I can do this abstract, and I really enjoy it.

MR. MERETSKY: That's great. Well, it's a good relief. As I started to say before, you are involved with 20 or 30 different organizations. Looking at your CV, you've

just been involved with everything, and you've worked your way up the ladders. Going through, most of the organizations seem to be leaning towards the Jewish education side. From what you said earlier, I can see where that came from.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, that's not totally true, but I think Jewish education was the area where I initially began to have interaction with the organized Jewish community. Ultimately my last position was the chairman of Sinai Hospital. Health care is an area I really have a lot of expertise in. So it's been a very interesting migration.

But Jewish education was always pivotal to me because it was the cornerstone in my opinion of Jewish survival. The statistics always indicated that those children who got an extensive Jewish education statistically were more probably going to marry within the religion and raise Jewish children than those who were attenuated from the educational process, in my judgment.

When I started, it was with the late Jacob Siegal.

He was involved with Hillel and I was involved with Akiva, and it was at a time when Federation viewed Jewish education as something not to be supported by Federation. I remember presidents of Federation telling me as we went through these times that we needed to separate church and state, and I kept saying to them, are you delusional? What are you, church or state? I said this is a Jewish community, and without the

cornerstone of education. So we had this collective of folks who were pushing Federation to want to give us additional funding because of Jewish education.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That was at a time when annually they used to have this open forum on allocations. It was an allocations meeting where you could go and really express views. It was a very, very nice event, I actually thought, and people would come from various institutions and argue why there should be more recognition of their entity and within the Federation family. Jewish education was struggling at that time because day school education was just beginning to be accepted as the If you take a look at the history of the Jewish day school movement, you had the yeshivas and then you had the Hungarian influx and the Orthodox yeshivas became very right wing. Then you had the development of the more Zionist Hebrew day schools, which were in effect yeshivas, but they were structured with the recognition of the importance and the centrality of Israel to the core of the Jewish existence. Then the Conservative movement and even the Reform movement began to recognize that Jewish day school education was critically important to Jewish survival.

Prior to that we were so interested in assimilating that -- and this is an anecdotal observation -- I remember when the Russian influx came to this country and I was sitting at an executive committee meeting of Federation -- at that

time I was already involved, more deeply immersed -- and they wanted to put the Russians in apartments donated to the community by a series of Federation involved property owners, but they were in Warren, Michigan. I said as far as I'm concerned, if you bring them to Warren, Michigan, don't bother. They said, well, we can get these apartments free. I said I'd rather pay for apartments in Oak Park because this is the first generation where you don't have to rip the kepase and tzittzit off of them. They don't know what a kaput is, they don't know what sitzas are, they don't know what any of these Jewish symbols are because they've never been associated with them. So you've got to put them in the Jewish community where they can in fact feel a sense of connection and learn on their own.

Interestingly, what I've always loved about

Federation is if you made a cogent argument, people in this organization have a high degree of respect for each other, and they judge you on your ideas, not on who you are. I've always been very respectful of that. They thought that was exactly an accurate statement, and they rejected the apartments in Warren and they accepted apartments only within the Jewish community. So you could always make a difference in the process.

I've never been in a Federation meeting -- and this is totally anecdotal -- where I have experienced an individual

making a personal attack on somebody because they differed in their views. There were always strong disagreements on philosophy and ideas, but those were healthy. That's almost Talmudic in its expression. But the idea that there was going to be a personal attack -- Stan Meretsky, I think you're a terrible person because I disagree with you -- never happened. I always marveled at the discipline or respect people have for each other's ideas in the process.

And though we fought hard about Jewish education, it was important and people began to recognize that Jewish education was of singular importance, and I guess the leadership of Federation decided they'd rather have me in the tent than outside the tent, and I won't use the appropriate expression that comes with that.

MR. MERETSKY: And apparently so because you're the first Orthodox-oriented Jew to be president of the Federation here.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Yes. I actually understand I'm the first Orthodox Jew to be president of any major Federation in the United States because there was always a perception that Federation was much more a closed environment for those people who were affluent, the country club set, and more attenuated from the Orthodox community. Orthodoxy was flowing one way and the balance of the Jewish community was going another way. Not that that's changed, to be frank with you, except that

there are many more Orthodox Jews now involved in Federation because I think what's happening is the Orthodox community is becoming much more integrated into Federation and a lot of people who are more secularly inclined are much more attenuated from any of their connections with the Jewish community.

I marvel that I got to be president of Federation when I did. It was an extraordinary experience, something that I will cherish and have cherished as one of the seminal experiences in my adult life.

MR. MERETSKY: You worked your way up the ladder. Who were the people who were mentoring you along the way? One thing I've learned, I've talked to several of the past presidents of Federation, every one had two or three people who saw the strength and leadership skills in that person to guide them up that ladder.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, you'd have to look at people like Bill Berman, Joel Tauber, who's just a little bit older than I am, with whom I built relationships and looked to for leadership. Mike Zeltzer, who was a past president of Federation and a member of my law firm at the time. Those were people whose guidance I sought and received in the process of developing my career in Federation.

But I always was kind of an outsider/insider in Federation, and maybe that's an emotional comment, but I

always perceived myself because of the fact that a lot of the social interaction that was developed was developed at the country club and other places, and since I was shomer Shabbat on Friday nights I never went out and on Saturday nights from May until October Shabbat ended I think by breakfast on Sunday, so I didn't go out. So you lost a lot of that connection.

2.2.

Very frankly, and this is a very honest statement, when you keep kosher, it's very hard for other people to invite you to their homes because it is an added burden on them in terms of their style of how they cook and prepare food. So I always felt that we were the kind of Federation leader who came from a very different perspective and viewpoint. I think that my predecessors, Connie Giles and Joel Tauber in particular, are to be commended that they judged me on my abilities versus on my social contacts in the world in which they lived. So from my vantage point it was a surprise.

I always took Federation work very seriously because I think the Jewish community in this country is unique and we need to cherish it and we need to build it in an appropriate way. I think Federation was the one organization which, going back to the secular church/state argument, that could cross and interphase with Orthodox, Conservative and Reform Jews because of its secular structure. This idea of identifying

religiously was not necessary as it might have been in other entities. Like if you have the Orthodox, you don't want to recognize the Conservative or Reform synagogues and temples, all of those kinds of attitudes never permeated in a Federation world.

MR. MERETSKY: Is the Orthodox community still very much separated or somewhat separated from the Reform and Conservative? Instead of being a bunch of different camps, it's like the Orthodox and the rest. Is it still like that?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Yes. I think you have the Orthodox and the rest because one of my deeply held convictions on that is you have a profound change in the Jewish community. The Conservative movement, in my opinion, is the movement in this country that is most buffeted by the changes because it has adopted a theology which is not ingrained in its membership. If you were take the average Conservative congregant and the average Reform congregant, their basic religious practices are not very different from one another.

If you take a look at the three movements in Judaism, putting Jewish Humanism aside, in the Reform movement there is synergy between the clergy and the members in terms of their theology. They practice the same and they believe the same. Kashrut is not an abiding requirement in Reform Judaism, and in most instances neither the rabbis nor the congregants observe kashrut. Shabbat is not a requirement.

Using that just as two particular examples.

In the Conservative movement, however, other than the permission granted to drive to synagogue on Shabbat there is a restriction on driving the balance of the day, and there is an observance of kashrut with certain liberal interpretations of eating fish out and things like that. Yet, if you look at the congregants, you would not find many who adhere to Conservative philosophy and theology at that point.

I would ask the question almost rhetorically, how many members of any of your Conservative congregations drive to shul on Shabbat morning and then drive home and put the car away and observe Shabbat the rest of the day as if it was one of the restrictions to use their car, use their phone, all of these mechanical equipment, and the answer is the number would be minuscule and almost non-existent. So that movement is being buffeted.

So there is Orthodoxy and there is a Conservative movement and a Reform movement, but they're really moving closer together because the Reform movement has discovered that the symbolism of certain practices is important because of the number of members they have assimilating and outmigrating beyond the Jewish community. So they're concerned and therefore becoming more religiously oriented and symbolically oriented. The Conservative movement is finding that if they don't change the more rigorous forms of their

practice, they're going to lose their members, so therefore they're liberalizing what they're doing, and as they liberalize and as the Reform movement becomes more conservative, they're going to find a common meeting point in the not too distant future.

1.1

I actually wrote an article on this I think about 20 years ago, that the Conservative movement as it is would cease to exist in terms of its religious theology by 2010. I don't know that I was right on the date, but that was a comment I made.

The Orthodox movement, on the other hand, because of these trends, is becoming profoundly insular and it also is dividing itself away from the balance of the Jewish community, which I think is a disturbing trend on all counts. I find in Orthodoxy today you have the outer manifestation of religiosity has become fabric oriented. You wear a black hat, you wear a black suit, and you have your tzitzits out. Those are the pass keys to become accepted into the more Haradi or right wing Orthodox movement. In cities like Detroit, there isn't a vibrant a centrist Orthodox movement as there is in other major cities like Chicago, Philadelphia, Washington, New York, and Los Angeles.

So these are very kind of disturbing trends. I think that to me personally they're disconcerting because they show a chasm that's developing between our religious

denominations in Judaism, and they don't speak to each other; they speak past each other. I think that's disconcerting and disturbing. We're all Jews. One of my values has always been I will never be judgmental about whether your practices or mine are the ones that are the entry key to the world to come if you want to choose to believe in that portion of the Jewish theology.

MR. MERETSKY: Something I don't know anything about is the Young Israel movement. Isn't that like a modern Orthodox?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Yes. The Young Israel was and has been a very modern Orthodox movement, and as a result it was the Zionist Orthodox congregational movement. The people in Young Israel, like my father who was the founder of Young Israel in Detroit -- he was the first president -- were people who believed in the concept of Torah Em derech eretz, which means a pursuit of scholarship within the framework of the secular world, and that you can live in both kinds of worlds and be a traditional Jew. The Young Israel movement has in fact been the proponent of that for decades.

The problem is many of the rabbis that are available now in the Orthodox movement are far more to the right theologically than their congregants and the Young Israel movement is attracting rabbis who are less flexible in terms of the Orthodox community, in terms of the liberalness of the

rabbinate in previous generations of Young Israel.

I remember Young Israel rabbis who would dance with their wives at social parties. Now we have gotten to the point where you go to certain weddings, and there's a separation of Mechitzah down the dance floor, so never the twain shall meet. I always find it fascinating that I'm here at a wedding, which is celebrating the union of a man and a woman into one committed being to each other, and we are doing it through this method of separation. The rabbinic interpretation is one that I have a hard time adjusting to, and that is that the male species is basically bad, and therefore we need to build all these walls of protection so that we can be pure in our thoughts and actions. So I have some issues with that.

MR. MERETSKY: I can understand that one.

Does the Orthodox community get a larger share of the Federation's budget money even though they're not as involved with the Federation?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, I'd recharacterize your question into a different answer. I guess this is almost the politician's answer. Federation focuses its dollars on those people who are most in need of the support services that it provides. As it turns out, the Orthodox community has a preponderance of those needs in many areas. Hence, the Jewish Family Service provides a lot of those services.

I was just at a meeting yesterday talking about the health care program that Rachel Yoskovitz is working on -- a very remarkable Jewish servant by the way -- and that program, there are a number of families in the Orthodox community that have a significant number of children and they have a meager income. The fathers dedicate themselves mostly to learning Torah, and in their world view this is the critical preeminent role, and therefore there is a focus of dollars to support them in the way of the social services.

When we move to education, I think there's a belief that the more strongly you educate and support the day school movement, the more likely you are to have a sustained Jewish community, and since the preponderance of kids that go to the day school movement are Orthodox, the answer is yes, it's getting a preponderance of those dollars.

MR. MERETSKY: Any particular incidents or comments that you got when you were president about being Orthodox versus the rest? I know you say there was never any name calling or anything in the board among people who are involved, but just somewhere out and around as you were doing your job, were there any comments? Or did people just accept it?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: The only thing I got feedback on was when early in my tenure as president I made the decision that the Jewish community should acquire Binai Moshe, and ensure

that it stayed in the Jewish community, and then sold it on a very sweetheart deal to Yeshiva Beth Yehuda for its girls school. That was referred to behind my back by many of predecessors as Schlussel's folly. Although a couple of those presidents have come to me in years since and said, you were right on that issue and we were wrong, because it was the linchpin that insured the vitality of Oak Park, Huntington Woods, and Southfield. We have a very interesting dynamic in Detroit that is something that has not really gotten the national recognition that it should have gotten and that is through the loan program and through the acquisition of the Binai Moshe for the yeshiva, and Beth Shalom expanding its synagogue and the building of the cholil, you have reverse flight, something that's never happened. We've had a tradition in the Jewish community of fleeing from the African American community, and I think the views of our liberalism have come to bear in a very positive way, too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. MERETSKY: So you were on the border of one of the founders of -- I've always called it the North Oak Park Project, but I know it has another name.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: The Neighborhood Project. That's what it was called. It was an extraordinary program, giving the people the impetus to buy homes. But you also have a free exchange. Now you have African Americans buying from Jews and Jews buying from African Americans. It's the healthy kind of

approach. If you went into the eastern part of Southfield, starting at the Ten Mile Road/Greenfield quadrant and move to Ten Mile Road and Southfield, there's been a substantial influx of young Jewish families into that area that have just made it a very vital and viable Jewish community. This is, again, a Federation activity that should be getting major kudos for the vision of the leadership of Federation.

Most of them don't live in the area. I am the only past president that lives in the area, and you have a vice president of Federation, Gary Torgow, who lives in that area. But other than the two of us, I can't think of anybody else who lives in that area.

But the vision of supporting the programs came from everybody.

MR. MERETSKY: The Detroit community is very unusual. It's like a barbell. You've got one end in West Bloomfield, the other end in Oak Park/Huntington Woods.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Right.

MR. MERETSKY: And just like a cylinder running between them.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Right.

MR. MERETSKY: Northwestern Highway and Orchard Lake Road. It's very interesting. I've never seen it anywhere else. But whoever came up with the idea that started the Neighborhood Project, I think it was brilliant, because at

that time I was living in Huntington Woods and I know how it helped my area, and my synagogue, Beth Shalom, over there. So I've watched it all these years.

2.4

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, I think the project -- every successful project in Detroit has many fathers as they said. It's only the failures that are orphans. Rabbi Groner played a pivotal role in the Neighborhood Project in many ways, both in his support and conceptualization of parts of it, and also because many of his congregants were important in Federation and politicking to make sure it got done. So he amongst all the clergy deserves the kudos for what happened with the Neighborhood Project, quite frankly.

The other interesting thing about that program that I think is phenomenal is there was never a default. To my knowledge there was never a default. Every person who borrowed money paid it back.

MR. MERETSKY: That's awesome.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: So that tells you how successful it was and the integrity with which these people took the resources, used them properly, and return them to the community.

MR. MERETSKY: Mark, I'd like to have you talk about Sinai Hospital. You were involved with that for many, many years, up through the sale of the hospital. I'd like you to talk about some of the reasons for the closing, the community

problem that you had to deal with on that whole subject, the time it took. I know there were a lot of deals that were negotiated on that.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, the Sinai closing has within it a lot of Jewish history, and it is something that still saddens me to this day that we didn't have the ability to preserve that institution. But if you think back, they started with the North End Clinic. Many of the doctors in our community who were physicians who were Jewish were denied staff privileges at many hospitals in this community. It was a highly discriminatory process. And as a result of that, there was a need to develop a separate institution, and the will of the leadership of the Jewish community came to bear.

Interestingly, in most cities the hospital was a power center equal to Federation, whereas in Detroit the funding for the hospital came from Federation's leadership, so there was never a power battle because it was almost an integrated process.

I want to digress for a minute because there's an anecdotal story that I think is important.

MR. MERETSKY: Please. Go ahead.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Early in my presidency of Federation there was a lawsuit pending by a nursing home on Maple Road, which was an attempt to thwart the right for the Jewish Home for the Aged to get a certificate of need, and this will

dovetail into the Sinai because it tells you the power of the changing demographic, but I'd like to tell the story now.

I called the CEO of Beaumont Hospital, who owned a significant piece, and asked him to come to a meeting with me to discuss dismissing the lawsuit. So I went to the meeting with him, and we met actually at the Jewish Home on Seven Mile Road at the time. I said to him, almost pleading, We need to have you dismiss that lawsuit. You're hurting indigent or basically poor Jewish folks who need a nursing home environment and I'd really request that you dismiss it. His response was, I don't see any compelling business reason why I should do that, and therefore I'm not.

So then I said, Well, I'm going to give you an idea of what I think might be a compelling reason. I've called the Jewish News and I've looked at your census, which is about 20 percent Jewish these days, and you're building a facility on Orchard Lake Road, and from my vantage point I think what you're doing is destructive to our whole Jewish community and anti-Semitic, and I'm going to have a press conference with the Jewish News and that's going to be the byline of my presentation.

He said, Are you threatening me? I said, No, I'm telling you. Threats are something people don't intend to do. Telling you something is just forewarning you that that's going to be consequence, and we'll see whether the Jewish

community will follow its Federation leadership once this story breaks. He said to me after mulling this for a minute, minute and a half, How much time do I have to get rid of that lawsuit? I said, Well, the Jewish News has already gone to press, so it will be next week. He said, Where are you going? I said, Back to my office.

I went back to my office and within an hour and a half I received a phone call from their attorneys asking where we could deliver the dismissal order.

I tell you that story because of two things. First of all, I got my courage to do that from watching with great respect actually the African American community assert its rights without fear. And I was always an anomaly. I said I'm an Orthodox president but I don't have a shtetl mentality. I basically think that we need to assert our rights as Jews without fear that -- you know, Jews are always whispering, like Aunt Jenny's got cancer. Nobody can say that out loud. We all think if we go along, we'll get along.

This was a perfect example of being assertive in a way that was good for the community. The lawsuit was dismissed, the objections went away, and ultimately the Maple Drake facilities were built. A lot of elderly Jews live a very dignified and wonderful life because of that.

MR. MERETSKY: My father.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: And I tell you that because that's

the changing demographic in terms of the Sinai Hospital.

Sinai Hospital had lost the loyalty of its Jewish patients as well as many of its Jewish doctors, because once the world opened up to them, being accepted on other hospital staffs, they moved to other facilities, and Sinai being a singular facility -- 609 beds, but it was operating basically at about a 480 bed capacity -- it could not compete competitively with the systems that were around it.

One of the things that we did at Sinai -- and I'm one of the few people who became president of an agency at Federation after I was Federation president, which is again an interesting -- I don't think there's ever been a president of Federation who after his presidency, other than myself, has become a president of an agency. So I became chairman of Sinai I believe in 1995. I finished my presidency of Federation in 1992.

There were some extraordinary people on that board in my opinion. One of them who I revere, if you want to talk about a mentor and a great person, was Bob Sosnick, may he rest in peace. I thought he was a remarkable human being.

And I would say that on tape a hundred times over. He was a man of great dignity, extraordinarily charitable without wanting the covet of charity. He did it all for the right reasons. An absolutely incredible mind.

We invited onto the board Jerry Schostak, and he

became an extremely valuable ally, a very, very bright and very forceful member of the team.

2.2

The key was to create a value proposition so that Sinai would have to be acquired. I happen to be a health care lawyer. I've done health care legal work and real estate legal work for the last 30 years. You know what the numbers are, and I've had a lot of experience in this area, so I knew it was inevitable that if we didn't sell the asset, we'd have to close that asset at some point.

From a Jewish community point of view, the tragedy of it is Sinai Hospital made us bigger than we are. It added a sense of power. It was geometric in its power. Federation was a community of nursing homes, of a hospital, a highly regarded hospital with very fine physicians, and when we had to contract, we lost something of that nucleus and that power base in my judgment in terms of the perception of the Gentile or general community. So this was not a moment of joy. This was a moment of singular sadness on my part.

I still feel saddened by it, but there were circumstances that dictated that the decision had to be made and had to be made in an appropriate way. So my predecessor brought in the Hunter Group, and we worked with them. And I said to them, Can we keep this place propped up with very good numbers for a couple of years if we do the restructuring, and the answer was yes.

What I believe happened was that the Detroit Medical Center presumed that Grace Hospital was going to be very viable and Sinai was going to shrink and disappear. Well, as it turned out, the reverse happened. We started becoming very profitable. The year before the merger we made I think about \$12 million and they lost a comparable amount of money I believe. So it became imperative that one of those two hospitals had to go for the other to survive.

2.4

We began negotiations with three or four groups, a for-profit group and two not-for-profits. Providence had some interest, a real serious interest. A for-profit had some serious interest, and the DMC had some serious interest.

and I won't go into this -- that their chosen acquirer was the DMC because their fear was that the DMC has so much infrastructure and medical gloss associated with it, they didn't want another competitor in that area. So we were informed in very circuitous ways by the Attorney General's Office that if we sold to a for-profit, we would be sued and they would tie us up in court for two years whether we would succeed ultimately or not. So prudence dictated that that wasn't going to be the choice.

So we embarked upon this negotiation with the Detroit Medical Center, which led to a successful conclusion, and the two people I mentioned, Bob Sosnick and Jerry

Schostak, were instrumental and pivotal along with the CEO, Phil Shengold, in making this happen. So the four of us basically pushed through this transaction.

And the miracle is, the progeny of that is the Jewish Fund, which is the fund that now houses roughly \$60 million, the asset of the Jewish community that we need to actually support Federation and is a support foundation.

There's an interesting anecdotal story about that, too, and I won't mention a lot of names other than Max Fisher. The way the deal was structured, Sinai Health Care Foundation really had control of the hospital board. We had a concern that if those dollars were sent that way, it would be utilized by the Health Care Foundation not in the way that the Jewish community as a collective might use them. So we needed to get all of the Health Care Foundation appointee board members to vote in favor of disassociating, in effect, to get the money into the Jewish community.

So there was one vote that was critically needed, and I called Max Fisher and as is typical, he was the unsung hero. He went and got a commitment and called and said we have the commitment. And when I called the vote, there was shock around the table that the votes went the way they did.

So here again, between Max and Jerry Schostak and Bob Sosnick, we were able to maneuver this process forward.

And Schostak was particularly critical at the time of closing

in ensuring that the deal would be consummated. So all of these people played a pivotal role and led to the infusion of a significant foundation for the Jewish community which will be self-perpetuating for generations to come.

Last year we gave Federation, when it was having a tough year, \$500,000 to support certain agencies. We talked yesterday in this meeting about the Jewish Family Service Health Care program. We're talking about supporting some additional funding, but we've given them \$288,000 already for that program. So this was a miracle and a great event for the Jewish community.

One of my great experiences in terms of the negotiations and the politics of pulling this deal together, it took tons of hours and it was an intriguing enterprise to ultimately get the sale done. I was saddened, and still am, when the Medical Center chose to tear down Sinai rather than Grace. I actually think that was a huge mistake on their part.

MR. MERETSKY: Yes, because that was a much newer building.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: It was a much better building, and the doctors felt attenuated at Grace. The Grace doctors didn't feel attenuated at Sinai. You would have kept a lot more business at that. It was bad judgment in my opinion, and I voiced it at the time.

MR. MERETSKY: You wouldn't want to mention any of those names since this is going into the history, the person Max Fisher called?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: No, I really would not. There are certain things I think would be better left to the imagination. I'm saving it for my memoirs. I just think that there are certain things that would be preferred to be left where they are.

MR. MERETSKY: One of your absolute main thrusts has always been education, and this area has gone through so many changes and a lot more to come. How do you see the future? What do you see of the Jewish education in the community? How do you see it playing out over the next 10 or 20 years?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: This is a view from somebody who has stepped back and has not been immersed in the process for the last number of years.

MR. MERETSKY: I'm sure you followed it closely.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: I haven't been president of Federation for 13 years, so it's a long time ago.

There are a series of concerns that we should all have. The cost of day school education is becoming prohibitive for families with young children. Our day schools are in some difficulty. The two more right-wing yeshivas, Drachai Torah and Beth Yehudah, are in very difficult financial straits. Beth Yehudah has lost a number of its

patrons, particularly Marvin Berlin, blessed memory, and Hannah Karbal, so there's some trouble there.

Hillel has become very expensive and therefore more elitist, and the common person can't really afford it, although they have a very wonderful tuition scholarship program that I think is going to help in some way.

The afternoon school education in this community does not provide much education. You know, when United Hebrew Schools was at its prime, it had 5,500 kids, and they went almost 10 hours a week. They were getting what today might be a day school education that produced some very highly Judicially educated students. Today, for a kid to go to Sunday school on Sunday and expect to know anything about their religion is just not realistic. Kids have dancing and tennis and golf. In fact I'm going to two of my granddaughters' dance recitals tonight at seven. So I know about all this. They're the joys of grandparenthood, but they're spread all over. They've got all these other activities.

The day school movement has really proven to be the anchor of Jewish continuity. The afternoon school, which is really a Sunday school movement, has not. I see that as a significant problem. But I don't know how it's correctable because the momentum has swung to so many other outside activities that kids are not going to, nor are their parents going to tolerate an afternoon Jewish education of three to

four days a week.

MR. MERETSKY: How do you feel in the long run that this will affect the Jewish community? Do you feel it is weakening more? Intermarriage more? Dispersal of the community?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Oh, there's no doubt in my mind that our assimilation statistics in the United States are staggering at this moment. You know, I've commented in the past a Jewish -- I'll pick a young man -- had a choice between 100 percent -- although Jews are 2.5 percent of the population -- his dating mix was 100 percent Jewish and therefore the statistical probability of his marrying a Jewish girl was pretty high. In today's world if he feels free to date anybody and 97.5 percent of the girls he's going to go out with are non-Jewish, the statistical probability of a Jewish marriage is declining precipitously.

I think if you really read the tea leaves and look at history, the Jewish community in the United States has reached a zenith and is in decline in many ways. The Orthodox movement is going to be the vital movement, but in many ways it's -- I'm looking for the right word -- it's gotten to the point where it would prefer in many instances to have its young people not educated secularly. They discourage college, they discourage so much secular education, and they say Torah learning is the predominant force. So these young people

don't have the skills to compete in a very competitive economic society. So therefore, they're economically disadvantaged, and the people who are going to be able to support that kind of economically disadvantaged group are out migrating and no longer Jewish, and becoming attenuated to the Jewish community.

If you look back and use as an example a Max Fisher or an Alan Schwartz, who were the forerunners of breaking down the barriers in the secular community, in terms of charitable organizations. Now look at all the Jewish money and all the Jewish leadership that's involved in the symphony, the art museum, culture and education on a state-wide level, and therefore for a young person who wants to express themselves philanthropically, the Jewish community is not the only address anymore. So the whole thing is in a tremendous state of flux. I think the Jewish community is changing dramatically as result of all of this.

MR. MERETSKY: Most of those institutions, the symphony, the Detroit Institute of Arts, wouldn't even exist today were in not for the Jewish community. Namee Jarvi has been incredible and the Jewish community has supported him, as they say, to the Max. Look at the Max.

The DIA, the same thing. Most of the cultural institutions in this community would not exist were it not for the Jewish community, and yet they still put an awesome amount

of money into our community as a whole.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: But as assimilation occurs, and the grandchildren of some of these folks are no longer affiliated with the Jewish community, the desire to support this community is going to diminish. So we have an interesting set of challenges in front of us in my judgment, and I think that recognizing that this is a declining community is going to be one of them.

MR. MERETSKY: Well, I know for myself, being a member of the B'nai Brith Youth Organization is what really brought me into the Jewish community. I knew I was Jewish, but not active until I made those contacts and learned that as a teenager, and I personally think that's where our community needs to be spending more of its money is into that group, because that's the pivotal time in a kid's life.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: I totally agree with you. I think we've got to focus our dollars on Jewish education and those kinds of services and camp programs and Israel programs.

These are things that need to connect the Jewish youth with their future to give us chance to have some high level of continuity within the Jewish community.

I may be somebody who sounds pessimistic. I basically look at it as being realistic, that we're seeing a significant outward migration in the Jewish community and the statistics of how those people choose to raise their children

is not encouraging for the Jewish community.

You know, the rabbis are faced with a conundrum, so they've been somewhat ambivalent about how much outreach they do, and their ambivalence in my judgment has been not in the best interests of the community.

MR. MERETSKY: Let me ask you one more question, and that is what do you consider the greatest success of your career, in either the Jewish community or the secular community, the thing that you're most proud of that you've accomplished over all these years?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: You know, I can't answer the question that way. I think the fact that you're sitting in the Max Fisher Building, that happened during my tenure as president. Bob Aronson had just come to the community. No one was going to 163 Madison. It was an empty building.

Now if you look around here, this building is filled with meetings of both Federation and other Jewish communal activities. So would I say that's a very important career event? The answer is yes, I would say that's one of them.

I'd also look at the Sinai Hospital sale and the Jewish funds, \$60 million of assets. Was that a career event? The answer is yes. I'd say that was pivotally important.

And early in my career when I negotiated the overpasses on a pro bono basis for the Orthodox Jewish community to protect the vitality of Oak Park both north and

south, I negotiated with Neal Goldsmith to get those decks on I-696. That was historic, that we proved to them that they would be dividing a community that didn't drive and destroying the fabric of the Orthodox community. So again, that was unique. We were able to ge them to build those decks, which have had a remarkably positive impact because Oak Park is connected and not severed. And we also negotiated and got the certificate of funding of one of the Federation apartment buildings at that time.

So overall, on a pragmatic basis, I'd say those were all events that I'd say were rather seminal, showing the community representing the Orthodox community and being the first Federation president who was Orthodox is also a moment of great accomplishment in my opinion because it allowed people to be less judgmental about the community that they knew nothing about, and to be more open, to be receptive to the values that the Orthodox community espoused as integral to the Jewish community as well.

So all of those things I guess come to mind as events that I think were pivotal in terms of my experience in leadership of Federation.

MR. MERETSKY: Any other comments or thoughts or stories that you'd like to add at this time?

MR. SCHLUSSEL: One of the experiences I had before
I became president of Federation is I became president of

JESNA -- Jewish Education Service of North America. That organization, which has prospered over the last 20 years, was structured to try and provide on a national level the infrastructure, support, educational tools, pedagogical tools, ideas about Jewish education.

One of the concepts I always had, and today you could really do it, there are probably in the United States maybe three dozen fabulous, incredible Jewish educators, and when they lecture now, they may lecture to 50 kids, 20 kids, 100 kids. If we would use the Internet and we would use all the media we've got, why couldn't we get those people lecturing to 5,000 kids? Why should we not be using all of these electronic tools to get these great educators into everybody's either household or into the schools, so that if you went, to use your example, Beth Shalom Sunday School, you would have as your lecturer some great teacher from Boston, because there are some great schools in Boston, or from Baltimore, or wherever.

My hope was with JESNA is that they would in fact do that, and that there would be a development of using the electronic tools of bringing Jewish education into almost as many houses as possible and schools as possible.

You know, the interesting thing was, I had this Shabbat lunch this week at one of my dear friends, Michael Chapp. Michael Chapp is a world renowned physicist at Ford

Hospital. He runs a lab of 40 to 50 people, does all this research, travels the world. He also was a Talmudic genius at 13. He's a very amazing guy. But we were talking about our yeshiva days at lunch. There were three or four of us and we all commented that each of us used to get whacked on the knuckles by the rabbi. We all commented about getting whacked and how these guys were Talmudic geniuses but pedagogical midgets. I mean if there ever was a way not to teach. I didn't like coming home having my hand hurting.

The truth is in the Orthodox movement today, there are lots of people teaching who could take advantage of these pedagogical skills. There are such advance tools on teaching that we ought to be taking advantage of, but an individual community may not be able to afford it. JESNA was supposed to be the medium and can be the medium to facilitate that kind of educational improvements.

I was the first president during the founding period, and it was a very difficult time. It was really a draining period in terms of for me emotionally because it took so much time and effort. We were always broke and were always just starving for money. But one of the great things we did there was hire Jonathan Woocher, who was and is renowned nationally as an educator and a scholar, and he's still running JESNA and doing a great job.

I'll conclude with Morocco, because what I found out

about Morocco was both the great sadness of what's happened to the Jewish community and one of the great joys I had in the Jewish community. When you look at Morocco, the Jews predate the Arabs in Morocco, and nobody knows that because we've had so much revisionist history in the Arab world. All history begins and ends with Mohammad and Islam, and they have distorted and torn apart all of the other artifacts that disprove that in particular places, and Morocco is one of them. So what was once a vital Jewish community has declined to a mere shell of itself.

One thing that was fascinating, when I was in Morocco, I was mourning for my mother, and I needed a minyan, and there are two stories I want to share because I think they're both -- one still will bring tears to my eyes.

One day we were at a place and I said I need a minyan, it was time to daven minchah and 15 men appeared instantly. They pulled out their yarmulkahs, because in Morocco if you wear a yarmulkah outside at this point when we were there, it was unsafe. They all knew all the prayers by heart, and it was the most moving experience.

The other thing that moved me in Morocco in that sense is that they don't have a mashgiach in Morocco, they don't have people supervising. The women are trusted to keep the kasharat. And I thought what a remarkable society, that they have not risen to the level of mistrust. If you said

your house was kosher, everybody sat down to eat. And frankly that's how I live in terms of my life. If somebody tells me their house is kosher, I eat there because to me, to say to them, I don't trust your kasharat is a much greater averya, or violation of Jewish law, and an insult then to in fact eat if it's not up to your standard. I've always lived my life that way. And I marveled at Morocco.

The final thing yet about Morocco was one of the most moving experiences I've had in my life in the community. We were visiting a nursing home in Morocco and there was a fellow on our trip whose last name was Maimon. He is a direct descendent of Maimondedes, an Orthodox young man. He's not young anymore; he's my age now. He came from Seattle. Maimon is a Sephardic family.

Sitting on the porch of this nursing home was an elderly woman and he asked the nursing home staff about her. They said she hasn't spoken in quite a long time, and she just sits there. He walked up to her, and he put his hands on the two arms of her wheelchair. I think he was an accountant, but he was a hazen in terms of the holidays at his synagogue. He had a gorgeous voice. I actually get choked up when I remember this event. He began singing this Sephardic lullaby. She opened her eyes and she took his hand. She got out of the wheelchair and danced with him. It was such a singular moment of Jewish connection, that it was an awesome experience.

As you look back on your life and you think about those kinds of events, where else could I have done that? So my Judaism has always served me well.

I'll tell you another story that comes to mind. It has nothing to do with Federation. I was in Zurich on Chalomoid Succoth. I had to go negotiate a deal and I had to stay over because of Shabbat. I got directions from the concierge at the hotel to the shul. It was a couple mile walk and I went. And I had my first experience with being patted down because I was a stranger.

I went in and I was davening, and the people in front of me, a family, said would you like to come to our house for Shabbat lunch? I had nothing else to do, and I said I'd love to, and I went with them. It turned out the grandmother, the mother of the father of the family, came from the same town as my father, and she knew my family, and she told me about my family because she had come from the same town in Poland, Galishi, as my family had come from. What an extraordinary experience I had.

Then when I went to Russia and went to visit
Refusniks, which was also an extraordinary experience, when
the Soviet Union was still in its glory, and we were followed
by the KGB and all this. We took a subway to visit one family
and my Yiddish is very poor. But there was something almost
like a miracle. We got there and he only spoke Yiddish, and I

didn't speak Russian, he didn't speak English, and I spoke to him for almost two hours and I was absolutely fluent. It was as if the window in my head opened, and I carried on this conversation. It was an amazing experience because I realized that all that stuff that I'd heard for my youth had been stored up, and there was a moment when I needed it, and it came out, and it was real, and I was able to communicate with him.

So if you look at your life in the Jewish community, you know, I've grown as a human being and I now have children who are following in my footsteps, and I'm one of those unique presidents. My children are active in Federation. My son Jeff and my daughter-in-law Amy both won the Young Leadership awards. My older son Ira is active at the Jewish Community Council. My daughter Ellen Berlin is active at the synagogue, Adat Shalom. She's Orthodox by practice but her husband is Reform, and so they daven at the Adat Shalom, which I think is a wonderful compromise. I think a lot of David Sells. I think he's done a great job in that congregation. It's going to be sad to lose him when you've found the appropriate rabbi for your congregation.

So when you see your children participating at that level, and when I went to shul, my grandson led adon olem, I know that I've led a very full life, filled with, as they say in Yiddish, naches. So this has been a wonderful ride in

terms of my life experiences.

MR. MERETSKY: Well, thank you very much. We truly appreciate your allowing us to interview you today. I know when your grandchildren and your great, great, great grandchildren have a chance to view this tape, it will mean a lot to them.

MR. SCHLUSSEL: Well, they're terrific because of my wife. I always joke about the fact that my wife came from Shaarey Zedek and when I married her, I said she's a bella chuva now. She's now Orthodox, and she's the rigorous religious one in our house and always chastising me about my defections.