1	ORAL HISTORY OF:	Dr. Conrad Giles
2	INTERVIEWED BY:	Susie Citrin
3	DATE OF INTERVIEW:	Tuesday, May 24, 2005
4	LOCATION OF INTERVIEW:	Jewish Federation of Metropolitan
5		Detroit
6	SUBJECT MATTER:	Leadership in the Jewish
7		community, local and national
8		education, family
9	=	
10	MS. CITRIN: Today	is May 24, 2005. I am Susie
11	Citrin, and I'm conducting a	n oral history interview with
12	Conrad Giles at the Jewish F	ederation of Metropolitan Detroit.
13	Conrad, do we have	your permission to use your words
14	and thoughts in the future f	or educational and historical
15	research and documentation?	
16	DR. GILES: Of cou	rse.
17	MS. CITRIN: Befor	e we begin with all the wonderful
18	credos that you've had over	the last great number of years, I
19	want you to tell us a little	bit about your early life. I
20	know you were born in New Yo	rk, so do you want to tell us
21	about your family life there	, and especially your mother.
22	DR. GILES: Well,	actually, we briefly spoke before
23	regarding my mother, but as	I reflect backwards, which as one
24	gets to be three score and t	en and then some, you do

increasingly, I recognize, as we recognize in others, that

we're a product of our past. I was brought up in a household that had a lot of the symbols of Jewish communal service presented to me at a very early age. I didn't recognize any of that at the time, obviously.

My earliest memories of anything Jewish took place around my father's activity as a very young, 37-year-old president of significant synagogue in Long Island, in Rockville Center, where we moved when I was 4. That was the earliest contact.

My father was born in this country of English parents, so there was never any Eastern European flavor brought to the household by my dad. My mother on the other hand, who was never religious, nor was my father, came from a more secular, but from Poland and from Romania, and her father's first cousin was Shola Molecha. So I had this great mixture of British "aristocratic" Jewish background, where I'm traced back to Benjamin Disraeli, which is something you couldn't have found in those notes previously.

MS. CITRIN: No.

DR. GILES: And on the other side, a side that I was totally detached from, I remember going down to the Lower East Side to be with my great grandparents growing up, and I couldn't stand being on Houston Street at the time. I couldn't stand the smells. The people weren't my people. I was already willing to assimilate into this world which was

all around me, and I could have made choices.

I was bar mitzvahed. My father was incredibly active in the Conservative movement, one of the four founding members of the United Synagogue Youth Movement. So that was something that my parents, clearly upper middle class. My father was an attorney who made a nice living, not a great living. My mother was a teacher who got a master's degree and went through the various stages in the New York City system, eventually becoming a principal in her last years after serving as a teacher for 50 years in the New York City system. So I had these wonderful professional people surrounding me.

Adding to this was this wonderful sense that there was a community beyond our own family. Indeed, when I think about it, I think that in may ways their activity in the community substituted for what was a very small, and like so many, potentially dysfunctional family of my aunts and uncles. Many stories could be told. We are from New York, and that's where Damon Runyan stories came from. I have some Damon Runyanesque stories which aren't pertinent, but I was brought up with a sense that there was a community out there beyond the family, that there were things that could be done in the Jewish community.

I went off to school when I was 16 to the University of Michigan. I rapidly went through University of Michigan undergraduate, medical school. I graduated when I was not

quite 23 from medical school. So I didn't have much of a childhood in terms of being a teenager. I went to the Bronx High School of Science, which was highly intensive and had no social structure. I came on campus with one goal, and that was to go to medical school.

All through those years I had incredibly peripheral attachment to anything Jewish. It was as if I could only have one agenda, and my agenda was clear, and I got there, and I got there very rapidly. As I look back, I think it would have been just as nice to take a slightly slower voyage.

MS. CITRIN: So you always knew that you wanted to be a doctor?

DR. GILES: Since about the age of 8 I never had any question about what I wanted to be. I had one cousin of my mother's who was a physician, but most of my contact with physicians were. Interestingly enough, at a time before we had antibiotics that would be helpful, I had impetigo each summer. It's a strep infection, which I went to doctors a lot. So it was probably the family doctor experience that triggered that, because I was 7, 8 when that happened. But I never struggled with what I was going to do in life. I was always going to be a physician, and I was fortunate enough to be able to be given the appropriate genetic components from my attorney father and teacher mother to be able to achieve that. From that viewpoint, I certainly have had a very satisfying

life.

My background had enough material to at least plant the seeds for doing something more than what for many is enough of a contribution to society, being a physician. I saw that there were other things that one could be doing and still being successful within their own fields of primary employment endeavor.

MS. CITRIN: In reviewing some of the material, I was fascinated just even your name, Conrad Leslie, because it's not a typical Irving or Harold.

DR. GILES: Well, it's easy. I was named for a Charles. And to say Charles Giles, you get yourself into a terrible tongue-tied situation. So the closest thing that you come with a C was Conrad. As I've said so many times, it's a wonderful name for a physician, but if I had decided to become a longshoreman, I don't think it would have made it. So it's a great professional name.

MS. CITRIN: I know that you graduated from U of M Medical School, and then you went away for a while and came back to Detroit. Do you want to talk about that?

DR. GILES: Well, after my residency in Ann Arbor -I often say I'm a slow learner -- it took me 11 years to get
the skills of my trade as an ophthalmologist. I then spent
two years at the National Institutes of Health in research,
and it was an incredibly fulfilling number of years in terms

of research publications and stuff like that. It also exposed me because I had chances to go to a couple universities and do some lecturing when I was just out of my residency basically because I had a special area of interest, and it convinced me that I did not want to come to a university and be resident full time in an institution. At that time there were a couple places I looked at briefly, the University of Michigan, the University of Miami, and I could have gone into full-time academic medicine without ever hanging out my shingle so to speak, but I saw enough of the politics of academic medicine to say that I'd rather be a solo practitioner someplace in a suburban area and have a teaching affiliation, which I had from the day I opened my office, but I didn't want to go academically full time. So the two years at NIH were important.

From that viewpoint I did some interesting work, but so far as I know, I have yet to be named for the Nobel Prize in Medicine, although usually they award that several years after the actual work. So every time the Nobel committee meets, I ask myself is there a possibility that this is the year? It hasn't happened yet, however.

MS. CITRIN: Yes, but the interesting thing I found about your entire resume was that there is a focus on children, that you chose to help children with eye problems, that you've worked at the Detroit Institute of Children, and

down at Children's Hospital.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. GILES: I started out in medicine as a general ophthalmologist, what today is called a comprehensive ophthalmologist. At that time there were only two pediatric ophthalmologists in this country. There was no sub-specialty. And ten years into my practice from '63 to '73, half of my practice was children, half as adult. I looked at the field and recognized that there was a burgeoning sub-specialty within the field, this pediatric ophthalmology. So in 1973 I stopped seeing adults on a routine basis -- I maintained seeing a number of adults who were friends -- and declared myself a pediatric ophthalmologist. I was the last person grandfathered into the American Association of Pediatric Ophthalmology. Thereafter you required a year fellowship. Years later I actually headed a fellowship at Children's Hospital. So I did not have the usual credentials, and it was something I migrated to.

I originally wanted to be a pediatrician and I had a chance encounter with the Department of Ophthalmology chair at Wayne State, Albert Rudman, Sr., who called me a damn fool when he heard that I was going to become ophthalmologist, and he referred me to an article which indicated that ophthalmologists had the greatest sense of satisfaction after the age of 40, and the pediatricians had the least sense of satisfaction. I was 21 and I was making this decision. So I

took his advice, this particular article, and decided to become an ophthalmologist. And again, it has been an incredibly professionally fulfilling career.

One thing that it enabled me to do that I'm not sure I could have done in perhaps any other specialty, and that is, much like dermatology, although it isn't dermatology, you can circumscribe your hours. Therefore, it permitted you to have a schedule that allowed you a relative comfort that you're not going to get a call that's going to take you away from, heaven forbid, a committee meeting. So as a result it really was an enabler in terms of permitting me to do things in the communal world that had I been a cardiac surgeon or a neurosurgeon or an orthopedic surgeon I couldn't have done. So there was an interesting adjunct to the fact that I'm an ophthalmologist.

MS. CITRIN: I've reviewed your file, so I know a little bit about when you first got started, but when did you wake up one morning and say, you know, it's time for me to do some community work and volunteer? Did you have an awakening or was it just something that you did?

DR. GILES: Clearly there was a design, but the design was rather sketchy. It goes this way. I'm a New Yorker by birth. I come to a community that I only knew because I went to a school nearby, married a Detroit woman, and I knew that I wanted to be part of the community. That just to be a practitioner was for me not all that I wanted.

I guess the interesting facet of that is the precise trigger is very familiar to me. I went to a bar mitzvah at Temple Beth El where we belonged at the time, and the rabbi said something about the parents of the bar mitzvah. And I said, I want the rabbi to be able to say something about my son Keith's father. I said, I've got to be more than just a physician. I want to do something that somehow makes him proud that his father is part of this community, that I'm not just here, passing time, and taking and not giving.

So the opportunity, there was a young leadership group what was formed in 1967 and had four co-chairs, three of them extraordinarily wonderful: David Hermelin, Joel Tauber, and David Page, and it was a start of activity that obviously I didn't know where it was going to go. Actually my first committee was one that was chaired by David Page, examining the Hillel Foundation in Ann Arbor.

Because other family members were active in

Federation, I requested that I have some activity, and that's where they put me, and that was a wonderful experience because I had a chance to get to know and admire and later love Bill Haber of ORT fame, Social Security note, and of course dean of students and professor of economics at the University of Michigan, all of which he did in a way that if all of us would do as a single career would be incredibly significant, he had all of these things and he did them so well that the nicest

thing we can say about him in addition to all of that is that he was a Jew. That's always been a very important sense that I had. That was my first wonderful exposure to the Federation process.

MS. CITRIN: Going back to Ann Arbor again and helping with U of M Hillel is really quite an important thing in your career.

DR. GILES: Well, it was a nice moment. I was a member, as so many people. That's where the real strength of this community lies. It's easy to be president and be satisfied. This community permits people who aren't presidents, who do work in committees, and who do have a sense of accomplishment because things do happen as a result of those processes. That's what makes this community great.

I felt that I was making a contribution as one of four members of the committee that met in Ann Arbor three or four times for three or four afternoons. And I think that came as well from leadership, to make you feel that way, and credit David Page with that, who of course is a great community leader and one who we can be proud to call our own certainly.

MS. CITRIN: I notice also that you went from the Hillel, and the first recollections in the folder are of you working in Campaign, which a lot of volunteers don't like to do. I mean you sort of jumped right in.

DR. GILES: Actually I was at the JFS dedication. My first board was the Jewish Family Services. I lived next door to Joe Gadon, who was then president, and I served on a committee here which I was not terribly delighted with, and I said Joe, I'd like to try that if it's available. He of course welcomed me. Joe is another one, a wonderful, wonderful man, a giving man, and a good leader.

So my first activity, and it was coincident with that because you're right. And part of that was triggered by committee as well, outside of Federation. In order to join a country club in those days you had to be seen as a legitimate contributor to Federation, to something, but the Allied Jewish Campaign was what we were supposed to be giving to.

I remember getting the call from Ben Gutow, an allergist. I'd given \$200 the year before, which for me I thought a commitment, and I knew that I had to give more in order to become a member of Franklin Hills. He said, Well, we'd like to have you give the same as you gave last year unless you can add something to it. I said, Ben, I'm going from \$200 to \$800. There was silence at the other end of the phone. Nobody had ever quadrupled a gift without being asked. Obviously it was triggered not because of any sense of commitment. It was triggered because I wanted to play golf, it was because I wanted to be part of a different society.

Thereafter the exposure that was permitted because

of the young leadership group that I talked about, my golfing foursome -- Joel Tauber, Larry Sherman, and Jimmy August beginning in 1967. We never got past the second hole without talking about community. To this day where my golfing companion is most frequently Larry Jackier, we cannot play a round of golf without getting distracted in the best sense of the word with things about community, whether it's our community or Israel. You know, it's simple to even suggest that a country club atmosphere somehow influences you to the point where you become more deeply involved in something Jewish.

We don't have hanakeas on every tee. Our club by its nature was known as the German Jewish Reform Club. But it did represent a lot of leadership to the Federation, but Jewishness and country clubs were not something that were intertwined, but there were people within those clubs for whom the same set of standards and ideals meshed very well with things that build the Jewish community. So from that viewpoint that's that action.

MS. CITRIN: But it's not only that you became involved in the community. When I looked at your work throughout the ages here, there's a work with non-institutionalized elderly, there's work on a demographic study. I mean there's really ground-breaking kinds of things. Obviously the most important one, perhaps, to you, maybe not,

is the one where the UJA and the Council of the Jewish Federations meld together. So if you have to think about one thing personally that you've initiated, because the initiations are vast.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. GILES: Let me tell you something that I think is important, and anybody who doesn't recognize this has not given it as much thought as they might because they all have the intelligence, I believe, to articulate this, and perhaps some have. Hopefully some have. There is so much groundwork in everything that every president of this Federation -- we'll take that as the title from which we can leap and talk about this as philosophy -- that has come before you, that you basically when we talk about it colloquially, standing on the shoulders of giants, it's true institutionally. The leadership that came before me, the leadership that came after me, all should be very slow to take credit for any single action that took place in their three years, or extended to the point of their executive committee involvement. moving backwards to when there were vice presidents for five to six years. Each of us who comes to the chair has such a wealth of activity that has preceded him or her in that position -- and thank goodness there is a her -- that for anybody to say I did X and take full credit for that is not really as reasoned as they should be.

Yes, all the things that you've said. And I've

given some thought because of a prior conversation that you had with my wife Linda to what was the single most significant thing, and I think that in reality, and this is something that I want taped. It does not have to be distributed to my family. Probably the best thing I've done is have my wife involved in this Federation. Of all the things I can think of. Because nobody else did that. Except I can't even take full credit for that.

Once you're exposed -- and incidentally, the first committee appointment that she was received was not by me but by my dear friend and predecessor president Joel Tauber. He gave her her first job, and the rest is really history. She asked me, what I did think was her most significant contribution was. I won't go into that because she'll have that opportunity to do that herself. But I really believe that because I can take much of the credit but the institution takes the rest of it. You can have a first job, and many jobs you may do well but you don't like where you're doing it. Or you may do badly and not get another one.

In this institution if you do a good job, you're rewarded, one, because you've done a good job and people will tell you that, and two, because there are other jobs. We have an enormous depth of commitment and committed leadership, but there are a few people who have skills that go well beyond others.

If you want to set family aside for a moment, probably -- and I'm not sure it was such a great accomplishment -- the merger of the Council of Jewish Federations and the United Jewish Appeal at the national level, the accomplishment there was that I survived the process, which was extremely difficult, and unfortunately difficult. It didn't have to be that difficult. There are a number of factors that came into place.

As we sit here in 2005 and look at that institution, we look at it with two faces. One is a face of some disappointment that it hasn't achieved all that we had expected it to, and two, which is the face I want to put on it, the fact that there has been enormous progress since its inception, and keeping in mind that the merger of the two organizations in New York, when they had the same kind of a Council/UJA setup in that institution, took 11 years in their view before they finally functioned in a fashion that made them proud.

I believe we will make that decade and that we will function, that we will achieve what we set out to achieve, an efficient organization which combines both the Council and the UJA at the national level.

MS. CITRIN: It was a very contentious time and you were at the helm, so it must have been hard, and yet you got there.

DR. GILES: It was a contentious time. I could only reflect on how much easier it would have been because in the normal sequence of events, if my dear friend David Hermelin had not been ambassador to Norway at the time, the timing would have been that he would have been the president of UJA at that time, and the partners who would have been putting the merger together heading the organizations would have been David and myself. It would have been a vastly different experience. I'm not sure that the two systems would have come together any more easily, though I think they would have. But there are many reasons we mourn the loss of leadership, and David in particular. That was one additional one. You don't need another one, but that was on in a personal sense that I had.

Again, putting those organizations together, these were two organizations that had existed, one, the UJA since the '40s, and CJF had been in existence for close to 75 years.

MS. CITRIN: When I think about you and your leadership, I think of the Giles report, which really changed the face of Jewish education in this community. Your demographic study also, you were involved in 1990 in, and here Linda, your wife, is chairing it in 2005. So it's very interesting. Any comments about either of those?

DR. GILES: Two things. Number one, the Jewish Education Report, and I was always disturbed but my mother

would have been proud to have it called the Jewish Education Report because again, it wasn't my report. That I headed a committee that finally produced the report was fine. I won't say that there wasn't leadership involved. But the leadership comes from so many different areas.

The professional, Harlene Appelman, who was incredibly important, and that would never have happened without Harlene. The contribution of every member of that committee somehow, unfortunately, is denigrated when you put somebody's name on the report.

However, the report indeed did change the face of education here, but the face is ever-changing. The single most important demographic study that is changing the face of Jewish education was the Jewish population survey from CJF in 1990, which pointed out we had this enormous inter-marriage rate, and the only thing we had any handle that might change that would be Jewish education. So that as a result communities have looked at their priorities, and that report was just another example of looking at that priority, coming up with a different format which not only changed it, but changed even further during the term of office because in time Linda became the first chair of the Alliance for Jewish Education, co-chair along with Bob Naftaly. So that was an evolution.

Incidentally, she served on the first education

committee that Joel Tauber had chaired during my presidency, and following that report, which was tabled, we then reformulated the committee and I was appointed to handle that in those years.

The demographic study is a very interesting process. I recall sitting in meetings in which people in the audience said, well, we know who we are. We used to be 75,000 people, now we're 60,000 people because everybody's leaving town.

Well, then as now we have a responsibility to our constituency to use as little anecdote and as much science as possible in determining community priorities, in determining the allocation of funds, and determining the manner in which we raise funds, and understanding the mind-set of those from whom we are raising funds.

We spent a lot of time talking to one another. There has never been a room in this particular Federation that has been filled with people other than those who were committed to the things that the Jewish Federation is committed to. And one line is proving that we really feel that we're responsible for one another, first of all, and second of all, committed to improving the quality of the life of every Jew.

So if we talk to ourselves, we're going to get a very, very poor slice of what's out there, and that's why it was important in 1989, it's going to be important in 2005 that

we do the study. And am I proud that Linda is co-chairing this? Of course I am. Am I delighted that I had nothing to do with the appointment? I sure am, because obviously there are far more objective people out there in assessing her talents than I who have to make those decisions. I think it's important stuff though.

MS. CITRIN: Oh, absolutely. Talking about Linda and your family, I noticed six children and I counted eight grandchildren. We always ask people, if they'd like to, to comment on their families.

DR. GILES: Well, actually it's now ten and an unannounced eleventh on the way.

MS. CITRIN: Oh, my goodness.

DR. GILES: Well, when you blend families, as my wife has done, you still count, and you count both sides, and I've been blessed with six children, four of whom have my genetic component, and two of whom have Linda's, and they're all my children and they're all her children; they're our children. We're proud of them.

It was really an interesting phone call I received Jared, whom I know you know.

MS. CITRIN: Absolutely.

DR. GILES: It was on Thursday night. He said,

Conrad -- this is my stepson -- do you happen to know the

phone number of the Federation here in Chicago? I said, no, I

don't know that phone number, and this was after six o'clock. I said, I'm sure that somebody in Chicago must and I'm sure they have a web site. I would go to juf.org and see if you can't find it. And I said why? He said, well, I'm due to go to a dinner there, and as we were talking he was typing on the computer, and he said, oh, it's at 5:30 and it's now a quarter of six for the JUF Real Estate fund-raising.

To me it is one thing to spend a life involved in the community, and in the case of everybody you're interviewing, everybody's received back so much as a result of what they have done, the community has rewarded each of us. Locally, nationally, whatever. But the real mark -- they always say the mark of a great Jewish parent is that he has Jewish grandchildren. Well, I'll go the next step, and that is I not only want Jewish grandchildren, but I want Jewish children and grandchildren who are involved in Jewish community. I will feel somehow that if the activity within our blended family stops with the activity of Linda and myself, that we will be at least in part unfulfilled.

Diseases skip generations. The Jewish people cannot afford to skip a generation, and if it's going to skip a generation, I sure as heck don't want to be partly responsible for it.

MS. CITRIN: That's amazing. That's really amazing. We haven't talked at all about Israel, and you've

been involved in missions and travelling there. I don't know where to begin. Is there something in particular you'd like to talk about?

DR. GILES: You know something? This goes back to something that we didn't talk about, and very quickly. While involvement in this community occurred into the '70s, the moment that changed my life in terms of making certain that the rest of my life is going to be spent deeply involved was 32 years ago when, on my first mission to Israel, I stopped at Mauthausen outside of Vienna. In those days the immigration route brought the Russians who were immigrating through Austria to the Shaunau castle. I remember being there.

But we stepped into Mauthausen. We were taken by a guide -- I have some very female traits about me; tearing up is one of them.

MS. CITRIN: I am, too, because I've been there, so I know exactly what you mean.

DR. GILES: We went into the gas chambers, and we walked out of the gas chambers. Well, I walked out. The thousands of people who didn't walk out. I lost nobody I know of in the Holocaust. Zero. As I indicated, my paternal side came from England and Spain. My maternal side came from Poland and Romania, but they all got out. My grandfather shot off his toe so he get out of the Russian army at the time. I didn't lose anybody. So it was not a personal loss. But of

course that's not a proper characterization because every Jew, every Jew who died is a personal loss. That changed everything.

That was my first mission to Israel. I've been to Israel perhaps 40 times subsequently. The majority of that was not on missions but on activities with the Jewish Agency for Israel, which I served on its board of governors for six years and its executive committee for three. Those are fond moments of input in an agency which is, as you know, is the recipient of two-thirds of the monies from our overseas campaigns, the other third going to the Joint. I've served on the executive committee of the Joint sort of peripherally when I was president of CJF. But these are two incredible organizations which have great talent attached to the leadership and serving with those people for that period of time was exciting.

You cannot help but feel this way about Israel and be as deeply invested as I think most of our leadership is.

It is central. It is where our soul is. You don't have to make aliyah in order to feel that you are part of that country and the country is part of us.

In 1948 I was president of our junior congregation in New York, and my mother recently showed me the editorial I wrote. At that time I was 15 years old. I said I shared my love and feeling for Israel, but I knew I would never go to

Israel and live there, and that was because at that time I wanted to be in this country. Even then there was this dichotomy of feeling. One, the willingness, the desire to be part of Israel, but recognizing that my role as an individual, even back 55 years ago, if I was going to do anything, it was going to be in this country.

So my 40 trips to Israel have been incredible, as you mentioned, some on missions.

MS. CITRIN: Yes. The Michigan Miracle Mission.

DR. GILES: Right, we co-chaired the Michigan Miracle Mission, although all of us will remember with greatest fondness the leadership of David Hermelin, who makes every room he is in, ever venue he has been in one that each of us would want to be with in.

MS. CITRIN: Absolutely. Well, looking towards the future, if you had a crystal ball and you could envision what this Jewish community might look like, any ideas? Any thoughts?

DR. GILES: Well, one, when looking at the Jewish community, has got to have some perspective with respect to the overall community. Let me direct it to what I think the Jewish community is going to look like, understanding that I believe that the economics of our area are probably going to mitigate against any large expansion of the Jewish community, because at this point in time it's hard to see an economic

renaissance around the corner of the general community.

As long as we have institutions of the type, with the leadership of the individuals who we now have in place, and if you look forward to the leadership coming forward, this will remain as great a Jewish community as exists in all of the Diaspora. We are understandably the envy of virtually every community in North America who knows us at all. We have had the best of leadership, and even in those years and in the years that will follow in which we may not have, in the view of some, the best of leadership. The institution is so strong and there is such a firm foundation upon which many layers have been built that the institution is going to continue to drive this community, and it's going to remain central to what I would submit is going to continue to be a vibrant Jewish community.

I think that we have challenges ahead of us. I think that we are going to have to change some priorities. But this is going to have to be an evolution. This community has prospered because it's recognized that within it there are many different constituencies. There are people who relate to the social service aspect of what we do and feel that we are not placing enough emphasis there. There are others who feel that we are short changing education and not doing enough for the youngsters in schools.

The fact is that while our needs are finite and our

sources for those needs are practically infinite, we don't meet them, and therefore, by the very nature, our community, when it's viewed from those who are living within it, there's always going to be an element of discontent. That doesn't mean that institutions that feel are not getting everything they should are not vibrant, exciting, productive and quality. It does, and hopefully will continue, to mean that those who are responsible for leading those institutions and those who are responsible for raising funds to sustain those institutions are prodded to do more.

Because what I said the beginning of this little diatribe about the institutions is that the minute we say the needs are so enormous that they're infinite, we let ourselves off the hook. They're not infinite; they're finite. We know how much we can use in every single institution, and we know that we're not meeting them, and we know that the resources are there. It's the job of leadership to husband those resources, the job of leadership to make sure that we use them efficiently. Never be content with what we have and recognize that while we have this enormous ability to have this great community, it can still be greater, and even more than that, by generating increased funding, we can do more for the less fortunate communities and the less fortunate people who are outside of the boundaries of North America who also require our continuing care and support.

MS. CITRIN: Before we come to a conclusion, I would like to talk about your mother. Is there something you'd like to say about her?

DR. GILES: I mentioned my father, and really at that point I just mentioned that she was teacher, didn't I? Well, she's 95 years old and will be up here from Hollywood, Florida, to celebrate my birthday on Bastille Day, which she does every year. When you say that about a 95-year-old woman, you've said a lot because it means she's clearly mobile. She's traveling by herself, she lives by herself.

What's even more remarkable about my mother is that the quality of her life is not only wonderful, but the quality of her life has permitted her to do the kinds of things that I hope my children someday are able to do.

As I mentioned to you earlier, she was volunteer of the month in her Broward County Federation about a year ago. She is the immediate past president of the Women's American ORT group in Hollywood, and has had that job on three different cycles.

But more remarkably is that she does something which every campaigner in the world would be proud to say they do and few do. She does all of her solicitations face to face. She's responsible in her building in Hollywood for 125 solicitations, and she refuses to pick up the phone to make that solicitation. She does it face to face.

She is bright, she's committed, she's organized.

She has this enormous feeling which she has managed to transmit to her children, and I have a responsibility to those genes to keep myself as healthy as possible. I probably won't have the red hair that she has today.

MS. CITRIN: Oh, my goodness.

DR. GILES: I can only hope that I have the kind of spirit, energy and continued commitment that she has.

MS. CITRIN: I just want to ask you one last question. Any dark secret that we don't know about that you'd like to tell us -- anything else that you'd like to talk about? You mentioned ORT just a little bit ago, and I know you've been involved in that.

DR. GILES: My involvement with ORT is not with the World ORT Union. I'm involved with UJC in the Israel Advocacy Initiative.

I had mentioned the person who is most important in my life earlier, my wife. I can't sit in this room and think about community without thinking about David. And the deep dark secret I have is David was younger than myself by a couple of years. I mentioned the quality that was so important in wanting to be in the room with him. I guess the secret I have -- it's not such a secret; my wife knows this -- I don't think Doreen does -- a day doesn't go by in which I don't think about him. I think about him largely because,

one, I miss him on a personal level. But two, he means so much to so many people, most of whom will never know him, most of whom will never have any concept of the impact that he has. Indeed David couldn't possibly have known the kind of impact that he has.

Whatever we are individuals, as I indicated earlier, is the product of everything we've been exposed to. And the many institutions in this community that David led or was part of leadership, that he influenced, his legacy will be the vibrancy of this community, and I believe that along with Max Fisher and his generation, David Hermelin in my generation, the two names that will forever be etched in the institutional memory. And since this is an oral history and photograph history, I would like to leave the end of this with paying my respect and tribute to him.

MS. CITRIN: Thank you. That was a wonderful tribute to an incredible man.